## **EXHIBIT A**

## CHART COMPARING CLAIMS IN DOES III AND DOES v. MICHIGAN STATE POLICE

## Case 2:22-cv-10209-MAG-CI ECF No. 26-1, PageID.1023 Filed 03/11/22 Page 2 of 2

## **Comparison of Claims**

Claim	Does et al. v. Whitmer (Does III), Verified Class Action Complaint, R. 1	Does 1 to 44,000 v. Mich. State Police, Amended Class Action Complaint, R. 30
Retroactive Imposition of Punishment (Ex Post Facto)	Count I	Count I
Retroactive Extension of Registration Terms (Ex Post Facto and Due Process)	Count II	Not included
Irrationality of SORA	Count III (Due Process and Equal Protection)	Count II (Equal Protection)
Unequal Opportunity to Petition (Equal Protection)	Count IV	Not included
Compelled Speech (First Amendment)	Count V	Count IV
Violation of Plea Agreements (Due Process)	Count VI	Count III
Registration of Non-Sex-Offenders	Count VII (Due Process and Equal Protection)	Count VIII (Due Process)
Vagueness (Due Process)	Count VII	Count II
Compelled Admission of Understanding SORA	Count IX (First Amendment)	Count V (Due Process)
Reporting Requirements Chill Speech and Association (First Amendment)	Count X	Counts IV and VI
Unreasonable Seizure (Fourth Amendment)	Not included	Count VII